

DRAFT
INTERACTION PROFILE FOR:
CESIUM, COBALT, POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS,
STRONTIUM, AND TRICHLOROETHYLENE

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Public Comment Period Ends November 30, 2002

PREFACE

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) mandates that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) shall assess whether adequate information on health effects is available for the priority hazardous substances. Where such information is not available or under development, ATSDR shall, in cooperation with the National Toxicology Program, initiate a program of research to determine these health effects. The Act further directs that where feasible, ATSDR shall develop methods to determine the health effects of substances in combination with other substances with which they are commonly found. The Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996 requires that factors to be considered in establishing, modifying, or revoking tolerances for pesticide chemical residues shall include the available information concerning the cumulative effects of substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity, and combined exposure levels to the substance and other related substances. The FQPA requires that the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency consult with the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (which includes ATSDR) in implementing some of the provisions of the act.

To carry out these legislative mandates, ATSDR's Division of Toxicology (DT) has developed and coordinated a mixtures program that includes trend analysis to identify the mixtures most often found in environmental media, *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological testing of mixtures, quantitative modeling of joint action, and methodological development for assessment of joint toxicity. These efforts are interrelated. For example, the trend analysis suggests mixtures of concern for which assessments need to be conducted. If data are not available, further research is recommended. The data thus generated often contribute to the design, calibration or validation of the methodology. This pragmatic approach allows identification of pertinent issues and their resolution as well as enhancement of our understanding of the mechanisms of joint toxic action. All the information obtained is thus used to enhance existing or developing methods to assess the joint toxic action of environmental chemicals. Over a number of years, ATSDR scientists in collaboration with mixtures risk assessors and laboratory scientists have developed approaches for the assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. As part of the mixtures program a series of documents, Interaction Profiles, are being developed for certain priority mixtures that are of special concern to ATSDR.

The purpose of an Interaction Profile is to evaluate data on the toxicology of the "whole" priority mixture (if available) and on the joint toxic action of the chemicals in the mixture in order to recommend approaches for the exposure-based assessment of the potential hazard to public health. Joint toxic action includes additivity and interactions. A weight-of-evidence approach is commonly used in these documents to evaluate the influence of interactions in the overall toxicity of the mixture. The weight-of-evidence evaluations are qualitative in nature, although ATSDR recognizes that observations of toxicological interactions depend greatly on exposure doses and that some interactions appear to have thresholds. Thus, the interactions are evaluated in a qualitative manner to provide a sense of what influence the interactions may have when they do occur.

The public comment period ends on November 30, 2002. Comments should be sent to:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
Division of Toxicology
1600 Clifton Road, N.E.
Mail Stop E-29
Atlanta, GA 30333
Attn: Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D.

CONTRIBUTORS

CHEMICAL MANAGER(S)/AUTHORS:

Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D.
ATSDR, Division of Toxicology, Atlanta, GA

Mark Osier, Ph.D.
Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

Peter McClure, Ph.D., DABT
Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

SUMMARY

Review of Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry's (ATSDR) documents with site-specific information showed that cesium, cobalt, and strontium were found together at seven sites: Oak Ridge, Hanford Site, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Idaho National Environmental and Engineering Laboratory (INEEL), Nevada Test Site, Savannah River Site, and Lawrence Livermore Laboratory. Trichloroethylene was reported together with the radionuclides of cesium, cobalt, and strontium at Brookhaven National Laboratory and INEEL sites. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were reported together with the radionuclides of cesium, cobalt, and strontium at Oak Ridge and INEEL sites. The purposes of this profile are: (1) to evaluate data (if available) on health hazards, and their dose-response relationships, from oral exposure to this five-component mixture; (2) to evaluate data on the joint toxic actions of components of this mixture; and (3) to make recommendations for exposure-based assessments of the potential impact of joint toxic action of the mixture on public health.

In the event of exposure, the primary route of exposure of nearby populations to these chemicals in soil is likely to be oral, resulting from contamination of soil and/or groundwater. Available reports of chemical use and prior chemical release concerning the sites of concern indicate that strontium, cobalt, and cesium radionuclides, rather than the stable forms of these metals, are of greatest concern for possible adverse health effects. While data on the effects of ingested strontium radionuclides are available, data on the toxic and/or carcinogenic effects of radiocobalt and radiocesium following oral exposure are lacking. However, as the most sensitive effects of the radionuclides are expected to come from emitted radiation, a reasonable estimate as to potentially sensitive targets for oral exposure to radiocobalt and radiocesium can be made from examining the toxicokinetics of the stable compounds, as well as the tissues that are sensitive to external exposure to cobalt or cesium radiation.

Recent ATSDR toxicological profiles are available for all five components that comprise the mixture (ATSDR 1997, 2000, 2001c, 2001d, 2001e). For the information on the mechanisms and health effects of radiation, the ATSDR Toxicological Profile for Ionizing Radiation (ATSDR 1999) was also consulted.

No studies were located that examined health effects in humans or animals exposed to mixtures exclusively containing strontium, cobalt, cesium, trichloroethylene, and PCBs, and no physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) models for this mixture have been developed. As such, a component-based approach (ATSDR 2001a, 2001b), wherein the potential effect of individual components on other components in the mixture is evaluated, was used. The weight-of-evidence analysis indicates no evidence sufficient to support the existence of greater-than-additive or less-than-additive

joint actions of the component pairs, where recommendations can be made at all. As data are lacking for the majority of the component pairs, the mechanisms of action for each component pair were also analyzed for evidence of joint toxic actions.

Component-based approaches that assume additive joint toxic action are recommended for exposure-based assessments of possible noncancer or cancer health hazards from oral exposure to strontium, cobalt, cesium, trichloroethylene, and PCBs, because there are no direct data available to characterize health hazards (and dose-response relationships) from the five-component mixture. The weight-of-evidence analysis indicated that data are inadequate to characterize the modes of joint action of the components, but the additivity assumption appears to be suitable in the interest of protecting public health since the components have several shared targets of toxicity (organs or organ systems that are individually affected by the components).

A target-organ toxicity dose (TTD) modification of the hazard index approach is recommended for conducting exposure-based assessments of noncancer health hazards. TTDs for several toxicity targets have been derived for each of the components, including TTDs for hematological, immunological, reproductive, neurodevelopmental, and hepatic effects. For assessment of cancer risks from joint toxic action of the mixture, a similar component-based approach is recommended that involves multiplication of intakes of the components by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) cancer slope factors and summation of the resultant risk estimates.

LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

Ah	aryl hydrocarbon	PBPK/PD	physiologically-based
ALI	annual limit on intake		pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic
ARS	acute radiation sickness	PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	PFC	plaque-forming cell
BINWOE	binary weight-of-evidence	ppb	parts per billion
Bq	Becquerel	ppm	parts per million
CDDs	chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins	ppt	parts per trillion
CDFs	chlorinated dibenzofurans	PTU	6-propyl-2-thiouracil
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Recovery Act	RfC	reference concentration
		RfD	reference dose
		SGOT	serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase
Ci	Curie	Sr	strontium
Co	cobalt	SrCl ₂	strontium chloride
CoCl ₂	cobalt chloride	SRBC	sheep red blood cells
CRS	chronic radiation sickness	Sv	Sievert
Cs	cesium	TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
DCVC	S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine	TCE	trichloroethylene
DCVG	S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)glutathione	TEF	toxicity equivalent factor
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid	TEQ	toxic equivalency
DOE	Department of Energy	TSH	thyroid stimulating hormone
DT	Division of Toxicology	TTD	target-organ toxicity dose
EADs	early afterdepolarizations	UDP-GT	uridine-5'-diphosphate glucuronyltransferases
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid		
ED ₅₀	median effective dose (produces measured effect in 50% of population)	μg	microgram
		μmole	micromole
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	U.S.	United States
EROD	ethoxyresourufin-O-deethylase	VOC	volatile organic compound
Gy	Gray	VT	ventricular tachyarrhythmia
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer	WOE	weight-of-evidence
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection	>	greater than
		≥	greater than or equal to
INEEL	Idaho National Environmental and Engineering Laboratory	=	equal to
		<	less than
iNOS	inducible nitric oxide synthase	≤	less than or equal to
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System		
kg	kilogram		
L	liter		
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level		
LSE	levels of significant exposure		
mg	milligram		
mL	milliliter		
MRL	Minimal Risk Level		
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid		
mSv	millisievert		
NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements		
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level		
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission		
NTP	National Toxicology Program		
PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetic		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
CONTRIBUTORS	v
SUMMARY	vii
LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
1. Introduction	1
2. Joint Toxic Action Data for the Mixture of Concern and Component Mixtures	3
2.1 Mixture of Concern	3
2.2 Component Mixtures	3
2.2.1 Strontium and Cobalt	3
2.2.2 Strontium and Cesium	4
2.2.3 Strontium and Trichloroethylene	5
2.2.4 Strontium and PCBs	6
2.2.5 Cobalt and Cesium	7
2.2.6 Cobalt and Trichloroethylene	8
2.2.7 Cobalt and PCBs	9
2.2.8 Cesium and Trichloroethylene	10
2.2.9 Cesium and PCBs	10
2.2.10 Trichloroethylene and PCBs	11
2.3 Relevance of the Joint Toxic Action Data and Approaches to Public Health	12
2.4 Recommendations for Data Needs	25
3. Recommendation for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of the Mixture	27
4. Conclusions	33
5. List of References	35
Appendix A: Background Information for Strontium	43
A.1 Toxicokinetics	43
A.2 Health Effects	44
A.3 Mechanisms of Action	45
A.4 Health Guidelines	48
A.5 Derivation of Target Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	49
A.6 References	51

Appendix B: Background Information for Cobalt	55
B.1 Toxicokinetics	55
B.2 Health Effects	56
B.3 Mechanisms of Action	58
B.4 Health Guidelines	61
B.5 Derivation of Target Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	62
B.6 References	64
Appendix C: Background Information for Cesium	69
C.1 Toxicokinetics	69
C.2 Health Effects	70
C.3 Mechanisms of Action	71
C.4 Health Guidelines	72
C.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	73
C.6 References	75
Appendix D: Background Information for Trichloroethylene	77
D.1 Toxicokinetics	77
D.2 Health Effects	79
D.3 Mechanisms of Action	80
D.4 Health Guidelines	81
D.5 Derivation of Target Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	82
D.6 References	85
Appendix E: Background Information for PCBs	89
E.1 Toxicokinetics	89
E.2 Health Effects	92
E.3 Mechanisms of Action	95
E.4 Health Guidelines	112
E.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	113
E.6 References	116

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical Interactions	15
-----------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Potential Health Effects of Concern for Intermediate and Chronic Oral Exposure to the Mixture Radiostrontium, Radiocobalt, Radiocesium, Trichloroethylene, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls	2
Table 2.	Health Effects Forming the Basis of ATSDR Oral MRLs for Chemicals of Concern	14
Table 3.	Effect of Strontium on Cobalt	16
Table 4.	Effect of Cobalt on Strontium	17
Table 5.	Effect of Strontium on Cesium	18
Table 6.	Effect of Cesium on Strontium	20
Table 7.	Effect of Cobalt on Cesium	21
Table 8.	Effect of Cesium on Cobalt	22
Table 9.	Effect of PCBs on Trichloroethylene	23
Table 10.	Effect of Trichloroethylene on PCBs	24
Table 11.	Matrix of BINWOE Determinations for Hematological, Immunological, Neurological, (neuro)Developmental, Reproductive, Hepatic, and Carcinogenic Effects of Intermediate or Chronic Simultaneous Oral Exposure to Chemicals of Concern	28
Table 12.	TTDs and MRLs for Chronic Oral Exposure to Chemicals of Concern	29